(14)

No. 06-618
Title: Office of Senator Mark Dayton, Appellant
v.
Brad Hanson
Docketed: November 3, 2006
Lower Ct: United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
Case Nos.: (04-5335)
Decision Date: August 18, 2006
Questions Presented

~~Date~~~	~~~~~~~~Proceedings and Orders~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Nov 3 2006	Statement as to jurisdiction filed. (Response due December 4, 2006)
Nov 16 2006	Order extending time to file response to jurisdictional statement to and including December 18, 2006.
Dec 18 2006	Motion to dismiss or affirm filed by appellee Brad Hanson.
Dec 21 2006	Opposition to motion of appellant Office of Senator Mark Dayton filed.
Jan 3 2007	DISTRIBUTED for Conference of January 19, 2007.
Jan 3 2007	Suggestion of mootness filed by appellant Office of Senator Mark Dayton. (Distributed)
Jan 16 2007	Opposition of appellee to the suggestion of mootness filed. (Distributed)
Jan 19 2007	Further consideration of the question of jurisdiction is POSTPONED to the hearing of the case on the merits. In addition to the Question presented by the statement as to jurisdiction, counsel are directed to brief and argue the following Questions: 1) Was the Office of Senator Mark Dayton entitled to appeal the judgment of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit directly to this Court? 2) Was this case rendered moot by the expiration of the term of office of Senator Dayton? The Chief Justice took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.
Feb 5 2007	SET FOR ARGUMENT ON Tuesday, April 24, 2007.
Feb 13 2007	Record received from U.S.C.A. for District of Columbia Circuit. (1 envelope)
Feb 27 2007	Joint appendix filed.
Feb 27 2007	Brief of appellant Office of Senator Mark Dayton filed.
Mar 5 2007	Brief amicus curiae of President Pro Tempore of the Senate of Pennsylvania filed.
Mar 8 2007	CIRCULATED.
Mar 30 2007	Consent to the filing of amicus briefs in support of either party received from counsel for the respondent.
Mar 30 2007	Docket sheet received from U.S.D.C. for the District of Columbia Circuit. This case is Electronically filed.
Apr 3 2007	Brief amicus curiae of AARP filed. (Distributed)
Apr 3 2007	Brief amicus curiae of United States Senate filed. (Distributed)
Apr 3 2007	Brief of appellee Brad Hanson filed. (Distributed).
Apr 3 2007	Brief amici curiae of Congressmen Barney Frank and Christopher Shays filed. (Distributed)
Apr 12 2007	Motion of United States Senate for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for divided argument filed.
Apr 13 2007	Motion of United States Senate for leave to participate in oral argument as amicus curiae and for divided argument GRANTED. The Chief Justice took no part in the consideration

	or decision of this motion.
Apr 16 2007	Reply of appellant Office of Senator Mark Dayton filed. (Distributed)
Apr 24 2007	Argued. For appellant: Jean M. Manning, Senate Chief Counsel for Employment, Washington, D.C. For appellee: Richard A. Salzman, Washington, D.C.; and Thomas E. Caballero, Assistant Senate Legal Counsel, Washigton, D.C. (for United States Senate, as amicus curiae.)